



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,394	07/03/2003	Hironori Endo	Q76423	3189
23373	7590	04/05/2005	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			HUFFMAN, JULIAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/612,394

Applicant(s)

ENDO, HIRONORI

Examiner

Julian D. Huffman

Art Unit

2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 14-16 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-13, 17 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, the language "nozzles, that are located on the upstream side in said feed direction" lacks antecedent basis. The claim should state that the nozzles are arranged in the feed direction.

Claims 2-18 are objected to due to their dependence from claim 1.

Further in claim 5, the language "said aggregate paper feed amount" lacks antecedent basis since claim 4 does not positively recite that the paper feed amount is increased.

In claim 19, the last paragraph is indefinite. The limitation does not set forth any structure or point out the structure which provides the functional limitation claimed.

Applicant may wish to claim a controller for performing the claimed function.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 14-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuki (2002/0070991 A1) in view of Otsuki et al. (2002/0070994 A1).

With regards to claim 1, Otsuki ('991) discloses a liquid ejection control method for controlling ejection of liquid from nozzles for ejecting liquid onto a medium that is fed in a predetermined feed direction, comprising the following steps:

a step of making nozzles, among a plurality of nozzles, that are located on an upstream side in said feed direction not eject liquid (fig. 16, nozzles 3-8 do not eject liquid and are in an upstream side, section 0156).

With regards to claim 2, said nozzles that are located on the upstream side in said feed direction are a nozzle located most upstream in said feed direction and nozzles within a predetermined distance in said feed direction from said nozzle (nozzle 8 is most upstream and nozzles 3-7 are a predetermined distance away).

With regards to claim 3, Otsuki discloses, after the medium is initially positioned under the nozzles, that a step of feeding said medium in said feed direction and a step of moving an ejection head provided with said plurality of nozzles and ejecting liquid onto said medium are repeated a predetermined number of times, and then ejection of liquid onto said medium is ended (fig. 13).

With regards to claim 4, said predetermined number of times is a plurality of times, and

said predetermined distance is increased in said step of ejecting liquid onto said medium in correspondence with an increase of an aggregate paper feed amount of said

medium (compare figs. 16 and 40, as paper is fed, more nozzles are disabled to prevent printing on the platen).

With regards to claim 14, Otsuki discloses that said predetermined distance is increased in correspondence with an increase of an aggregate paper feed amount of said medium to increase a number of said nozzles that are made not to eject said liquid (compare figs. 16 and 40, as paper is fed, more nozzles are disabled to prevent printing on the platen), and

wherein, if the number of said nozzles that are made not to eject said liquid exceeds a number of predetermined nozzles among said plurality of nozzles, then the operation for ejecting liquid onto said medium is ended (when all nozzles are not ejecting ink, the printing operation has ended).

With regards to claim 15, Otsuki discloses that when it is determined that said portion of said medium on the upstream side in said feed direction has passed a predetermined position in said feed direction, liquid is not ejected from nozzles other than said predetermined nozzles among said plurality of nozzles (fig. 12, liquid is not ejected from lower numbered nozzles to prevent staining of platen).

With regards to claim 16, the predetermined nozzles are in opposition to a recessed section of a medium support section that is provided with said recessed section and that is for supporting said medium (fig. 12, slot 26f).

With regards to claim 19, Otsuki discloses a liquid ejection apparatus for ejecting liquid onto a medium, comprising:

a plurality of nozzles for ejecting the liquid (fig. 16, nozzles 1-8);

a movable ejection head provided with said plurality of nozzles (28); and
a feed mechanism for feeding the medium in a predetermined feed direction (25);
wherein nozzles among said plurality of nozzles that are positioned on an
upstream side in said feed direction are made not to eject liquid therefrom (fig. 16)

Otsuki uses a sub-scanning motor encoder and a main scanning carriage
encoder (fig. 6) to determine position of the media.

Otsuki does not disclose a sensor for detecting the media.

Otsuki et al. ('994) discloses a sensor for detecting the media edge and
controlling printing based on the result of detection (fig. 6, element 33).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of
the invention to incorporate the sensor of Otsuki et al. into the device of Otsuki for the
purpose of allowing images to be printed at exact positions in front or behind the rear
edge of the medium (sections 0016 and 0017).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-13, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base
claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections outlined in
paragraph 1 above *and* in independent form including all of the limitations of the base
claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2147. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30a.m.-6:00p.m. Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



JH
31 March 2005

15711-
K. FEGGINS 3/05
PRIMARY EXAMINER